TIM P. BRUNDLE, on behalf of . Civil Action No. 1:15cv1494

the Constellis Employee Stock . Ownership Plan, .

•

Plaintiff,

vs. . Alexandria, Virginia

October 13, 2017

1

9:57 a.m.

WILMINGTON TRUST, N.A., as successor to Wilmington Trust. Retirement and Institutional . Services Company, .

•

Defendant.

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: GREGORY Y. PORTER, ESQ.

RYAN T. JENNY, ESQ. Bailey & Glasser LLP

1054 31st Street, N.W., Suite 230

Washington, D.C. 20007

FOR THE DEFENDANT: STEPHEN W. ROBINSON, ESQ.

McGuireWoods LLP

1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800

McLean, VA 22102-4215

FOR CONSTELLIS GROUP, INC.: EDWARD L. ISLER, ESQ.

Isler Dare P.C.

1945 Old Gallows Road, Suite 650

Vienna, VA 22182

ALSO PRESENT: TIM P. BRUNDLE

(Pages 1 - 7)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

		2
1 2	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, CRR U.S. District Court, Fifth Floor 401 Courthouse Square
3		Alexandria, VA 22314 (703)299-8595
4		(703)299-0393
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
4
 1
     Otherwise, I'm outnumbered, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: That's fine.
 3
               MR. ISLER: Do I have to sit with Mr. Robinson?
 4
               THE COURT: We have extra tables if you don't want to
 5
     sit --
               MR. PORTER: There's no such thing as guilt by
 6
 7
     association here.
 8
                              (Laughter.)
               THE COURT: I received, if my count is correct, I
 9
10
     think we've received 58 letters. I will tell you in all the
11
     years I've done, you know, class action-type matters, that's
12
     the most answers I've ever had, most objections. Of those 58
13
     that we received, and I could be off by one or two, 16 did
14
     recognize the particular value that, Mr. Porter, you and your
15
     law firm played in this case and recognized that some
     additional compensation might be appropriate but not the full
16
17
     third that you're requesting from the common fund -- under
18
     common fund concept.
19
               So I've had a chance to read your papers, I know what
20
     the case law is in on this, but I've also taken into
21
     consideration the fact that, as I said, at least 58 members of
22
     this fund, this ERISA fund took the time to write to the Court.
23
     It's obviously -- and this was a small class. How many did we
24
     decide it consists of, about two or three hundred?
25
               MR. PORTER: Oh, no, Your Honor, it's more than that.
```

```
There were approximately 1,800 notices sent.
```

THE COURT: All right. That's still a significant portion in my experience. So anyway, I -- your original fee petition was \$2,815,729.50 for attorneys' fees.

MR. PORTER: Do you mean the fee-shifting motion?

6 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. PORTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The original request. And I ordered that \$1,819,631.11 be paid by the defendant, which left approximately \$1 million of the fees that you wanted not being compensated, correct?

MR. PORTER: The fees we requested to be shifted, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Right, right.

And I understand the argument that these cases involve a great deal of specialized expertise, these ERISA cases are very complicated, and that there is a risk factor because if a plaintiff's firm is unsuccessful, they can wind up holding nothing, and all of the out-of-pocket expenses, etc., not being compensated, and that there is a public policy interest in encouraging these cases because there is no other way of having ERISA beneficiaries' pension funds adequately being protected.

So there is a policy issue that goes in favor of, you know, some kind of common fund award; however, as many of the

- 1 protestors do point out, they themselves were never consulted.
- 2 | Some of them were apparently even unaware of this litigation,
- 3 and for the people who wrote, explaining that this is a
- 4 | significant portion of their retirement planning and funding,
- 5 they were objecting to have a third of the recovery go to the
- 6 attorneys' fees, to which they had themselves not agreed.
- 7 I think there is merit to that argument, so I don't
- 8 | need to hear argument. I mean, I've read your papers. What
- 9 | I'm doing is I'm sort of splitting the difference; that is, I
- 10 | recognize -- and I'm pleased that at least 16 of these people
- 11 did recognize the work that your firm did.
- 12 I'm going to award a partial common fund award, an
- 13 | additional \$1.5 million. That covers dollar for dollar every
- 14 bit of time you-all invested in this case, and most law firms,
- 15 | in my experience, in these high-value cases don't usually
- 16 recover 100 percent on the dollar.
- I did think that some of the case was to some degree
- 18 more expensively litigated than perhaps was necessary. There
- 19 | was one attorney very active in the case who I think was from
- 20 Kentucky, and there was a lot of expense in terms of travel,
- 21 etc., and, you know, that's a choice that people make as to how
- 22 they want to manage a case, but it increases the costs, but
- 23 | this judgment would allow, I think, the firm to be 100
- 24 percent-plus fully compensated for all the work that it did,
- 25 and I think given the fact that this is an ERISA case, that's

	7	
1	an equitable and appropriate result.	
2	So that's the Court's decision, all right?	
3	MR. PORTER: May I be heard, Your Honor?	
4	THE COURT: No, I've ruled. Thank you. I got you	
5	out of here early so I didn't have to waste your time. Thank	
6	you.	
7	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
8	MR. ISLER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
9	(Which were all the proceedings	
10	had at this time.)	
11		
12	CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER	
13	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of	
14	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.	
15		
16		
17	/s/ Anneliese J. Thomson	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		